

Field equations for gravity: An alternative route

Sumanta Chakraborty *

Department of Theoretical Physics, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Kolkata 700032, India

April 26, 2017

Abstract

We present an alternative derivation of the gravitational field equations starting from the Newton's law, which intrinsically brings in observer dependence in the theory. Projecting the Riemann curvature tensor appropriately as fit for an observer, taking a cue from Poisson's equation, the Einstein's equations immediately follow. Our derivation naturally adapts to Lovelock gravity theories where an appropriate Riemann tensor has to be used. Interestingly, in the above derivation the thermodynamic route to gravitational field equations, suited for null hypersurfaces, emerge quiet naturally.

1 Introduction

Equivalence principle acts as the guiding lighthouse to understand how matter fields behave in a curved spacetime background. Unfortunately, there exists no such principle which helps to answer the opposite, viz. how matter fields curve the spacetime [1–7]. Lack of such principle resulted in the vast landscape of various alternative gravity theories among which general relativity remains the most useful one. Despite this large variety of alternative gravitational theories one can do better by imposing some physical requirements on the systems of interest. In particular, the restriction to the class of theories having utmost second order derivatives of the metric is a judicious one, since this helps to overcome the well known Ostrogradski instability by evading existence of any ghost modes in the theory [8–10]. Surprisingly enough the above criteria turns out to be a very interesting one, as it singles out a very specific class of unique gravitational theories, known in the literature as the Lanczos-Lovelock models of gravity [11–16]. In particular, all these models satisfy the criteria that Bianchi derivative of the field tensor identically vanishes [17, 18]. One can add to this list a large number of interesting properties, a few among them are — (a) The action functional for Lanczos-Lovelock gravity can be broken into a bulk part and a surface contribution, which is closely related to the Wald entropy associated with the black hole horizon [19–21]; (b) The field equations in Lanczos-Lovelock gravity can be expressed as a thermodynamic identity on an arbitrary null surface [22–33]; (c) The difference between a suitably defined surface and bulk degrees of freedom in the context of Lanczos-Lovelock gravity can be interpreted as the evolution of the spacetime [34–36]; (d) The surface and bulk terms in the Lanczos-Lovelock gravity are related by a holographic relation between them [37, 38].

In the standard textbook treatment, one first introduces the gravitational Lagrangian L , which is $\sqrt{-g}R$ for general relativity while is a polynomial in the Riemann tensor for general Lanczos-Lovelock

*sumantac.physics@gmail.com

gravity. Variation of the action functional due to arbitrary variation of the metric, with appropriate boundary conditions [39, 40] leads to the corresponding field equations for gravity, namely the rule by which matter tells the spacetime how to curve. However, as emphasized earlier there is no physical principle which guides us to the precise mathematical structure of the gravitational action, what is more the field equations so obtained equates matter which is intrinsically *quantum* with spacetime geometry describing gravity *classically*. Despite this conceptual discomfort, there exist two additional representations of the gravitational dynamics, which are intrinsically observer dependent. The first one uses time like observers with four velocity u^a and equates two quantities that this observer measures in the geometric as well as in the matter sector, leading to a scalar equation,

$$G_{ab}u^au^b = \kappa T_{ab}u^au^b \quad (1)$$

Here κ stands for the gravitational constant in four dimensions and enforcing this equation for all observers with four velocity u_i leads to the Einstein's equations $G_{ab} = \kappa T_{ab}$. The other approach uses null vectors ℓ_a (i.e., $\ell^2 = 0$) and leads to the following scalar equation,

$$R_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b = \kappa T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b \quad (2)$$

In this situation as well validity of the above expression for all null vectors ℓ_a amounts to furnish the ten components of Einstein's equations. In this article, we would like to derive Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) from a geometrical point of view for both general relativity and Lanczos-Lovelock gravity, while keeping $\kappa = 1/2$. We would also argue why the route taken in this work is a natural one compared to the standard derivation of Einstein's equations from the gravitational action. We have organized the same as follows: In Section 2 we will discuss the derivation of Einstein's equations, which will be subsequently generalized in Section 3 to Lanczos-Lovelock theories of gravity. Then we provide the concluding remarks before presenting an important derivation in the appendix.

2 Einstein's equations following Newton's path

In Newtonian theory one describes gravity using the gravitational potential $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Given the matter density $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t)$, the dynamics of the gravitational field is being determined through the Poisson's equation $\nabla^2(4\phi) = \rho$. When one invokes principle of equivalence, the potential ϕ is readily identified with components of the metric, in particular, $g_{00} = -(1 + 2\phi)$. One then interprets the Poisson's equation in metric language as $-2\nabla^2 g_{00} = T_{00}$, where matter energy density is interpreted as the time-time component of the divergence free, symmetric, matter energy momentum tensor T_{ab} . In order to have a relativistic generalization one naively makes the following replacement $\nabla^2 \rightarrow \square$. This immediately suggests to look for second derivatives of the metric which is a second rank tensor as well as divergence free, paving the way to the Einstein tensor. On the other hand, for the right hand side the natural choice being the matter energy momentum tensor T_{ab} , one ends up equating the Einstein's tensor with the matter energy momentum tensor resulting in the Einstein's equations.

However, we could have just followed Newton's path and look for relativistic generalization of Poisson's equation itself, possibly leading to a scalar equation describing gravity in general relativity. As a first step one must realize that the right hand side of Poisson's equation is intrinsically observer dependent, as it is the energy of some matter field measured by an observer with some four velocity u_i . Given the symmetric and conserved matter energy momentum tensor T_{ab} , the matter energy density ρ as measured by an observer with four velocity u_a is $T_{ab}u^au^b$. Hence the same observer dependence must continue to

exist in general relativity and the right hand side of the desired general relativistic equation for gravity should be $T_{ab}u^au^b$ [41].

To derive the left hand side, i.e., analog of $\nabla^2\phi$ we note that this requires to derive a scalar object which involves only two spatial derivatives of the metric and necessarily depends on the four-velocity u^i . The only tensor depending on two derivative of the metric that can be constructed corresponds to the curvature tensor R_{abcd} . In order to obtain only spatial derivatives one has to project all the components of the curvature tensor on the plane orthogonal to u_a using $h_b^a = \delta_b^a + u^au_b$ and hence construct a scalar thereof. To keep generality, instead of looking for a timelike vector u_i , we will concentrate on a particular vector ℓ^a , with norm $\ell_a\ell^a \equiv \ell^2$. Then one can immediately introduce the following tensor,

$$P_b^a = \delta_b^a - \frac{1}{\ell^2}\ell^a\ell_b; \quad P_b^a P_c^b = \left(\delta_b^a - \frac{1}{\ell^2}\ell^a\ell_b\right) \left(\delta_c^b - \frac{1}{\ell^2}\ell^b\ell_c\right) = \delta_c^a - \frac{1}{\ell^2}\ell^a\ell_c = P_c^a \quad (3)$$

for which the final property ensures that it is a projector. Then one can define a projected Riemann curvature with respect to the vector ℓ^a by projecting all the indices of the Riemann curvature tensor, leading to

$$R^{abcd} = P_m^a P_n^b P_r^c P_s^d R^{mnrs} \quad (4)$$

The scalar constructed out of this projected Riemann tensor becomes,

$$R = P_{mr} P_{ns} R^{mnrs} = R - \frac{2}{\ell^2} R_{ab} \ell^a \ell^b \quad (5)$$

Since this scalar *by* construction has only two derivatives of the metric and is completely spatial, is the relativistic generalization of $\nabla^2\phi$ and thus must be equal to the corresponding matter energy density, which in this case corresponds to, $-(1/\ell^2)T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b$, where T_{ab} is the matter energy momentum tensor. Thus finally one obtains the following equation,

$$2R_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b - R\ell^2 = T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b \quad (6)$$

Surprisingly, through the above exercise we have achieved two results in one go. Firstly, for $\ell^2 = -1$, i.e., for unit normalized timelike vectors we get back the equation $2G_{ab}u^au^b = T_{ab}u^au^b$, while the limit $\ell^2 \rightarrow 0$ would lead to the null version, $2R_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b = T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b$. Then requiring these equations to hold for all timelike observers will lead to $2G_{ab} = T_{ab}$, while the validity for all null vectors demand: $2G_{ab} + \Lambda g_{ab} = T_{ab}$. Note that in the second situation a cosmological constant has automatically come into existence.

3 Newton leads way to Lovelock

In view of the above result, one cries for the corresponding situation in Lovelock gravity: Can field equations of Lovelock gravity be derived following the above procedure? This is what we will explore in this section and shall show that one can indeed derive the field equations for Lovelock gravity following an equivalent procedure. Before jumping into the details let us mention some structural aspects of Lovelock gravity. Briefly speaking, Lovelock gravity corresponds to a class of gravitational Lagrangians which are polynomial in the Riemann curvature tensor yielding field equations which are second order in the metric. The analytical form of such a polynomial (also called a pure Lovelock term) of order m involves m Riemann curvature tensor contracted appropriately, such that

$$L^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2^m} \delta_{c_1 d_1 \dots c_m d_m}^{a_1 b_1 \dots a_m b_m} R_{a_1 d_1}^{c_1 d_1} \dots R_{a_m d_m}^{c_m d_m} \equiv \frac{1}{m} P_{cd}^{ab} R_{ab}^{cd} \quad (7)$$

The above relation defines the tensor P_{cd}^{ab} having all the symmetries of the Riemann tensor with the following algebraic structure

$$P_{cd}^{ab} = \frac{m}{2^m} \delta_{cdc_2d_2 \dots c_md_m}^{aba_2b_2 \dots a_mb_m} R_{a_2b_2}^{c_2d_2} \dots R_{a_mb_m}^{c_md_m} \quad (8)$$

The tensor P_{cd}^{ab} satisfies an additional criteria $\nabla_a P_{cd}^{ab} = 0$, which ensures that the field equations derived from this Lagrangian are of second order.

One can now start from the Poisson's equation and fix the right hand side to be $-T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b/\ell^2$, which is the matter energy density associated with the vector field ℓ^a . In order to get the left hand side we must construct an appropriate curvature tensor suited for the Lovelock gravity and project it using the projector introduced in Eq. (3) before constructing a scalar out of it. There are two possible choices for such a curvature tensor among which we will discuss the simpler one in the following, while the complicated one is deferred to Appendix A. The curvature tensor described here was first introduced in [17, 18] and is defined as follows,

$$\mathbb{R}_{cd}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2} (P_{mn}^{ab} R_{cd}^{mn} + P_{cd}^{mn} R_{mn}^{ab}) - \frac{(m-1)}{(d-1)(d-2)} (\delta_c^a \delta_d^b - \delta_d^a \delta_c^b) L \quad (9)$$

where, L is the m th order Lovelock polynomial and $P_{cd}^{ab} = \partial L / \partial R_{ab}^{cd}$. This tensor can be easily generalized to the full Lovelock polynomial by just adding over different m values. Also note that the above defined 'Lovelock' Riemann tensor also has all the symmetries of the original 'Einstein' Riemann tensor R_{cd}^{ab} . Further it satisfies the contracted Bianchi identity, which will be sufficient for our purpose. Given the 'Lovelock' Riemann, one can project it in the plane orthogonal to ℓ_a and obtain the following scalar

$$\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}_{cd}^{ab} P_a^c P_b^d \quad (10)$$

Explicit evaluation of the above scalar can be performed keeping in mind that the tensor $\mathbb{R}_{cd}^{ab}$ is antisymmetric under exchange of the indices (a, b) and (c, d) respectively, leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &= \mathbb{R}_{cd}^{ab} \delta_a^c \delta_b^d - \frac{2}{\ell^2} \mathbb{R}_{cd}^{ab} \ell_a^c \ell_b^d \\ &= P_{cd}^{ab} R_{ab}^{cd} - \frac{(m-1)}{(d-1)(d-2)} (d^2 - d) L - \frac{2}{\ell^2} \left\{ P_{mn}^{ab} R_{cb}^{mn} - \frac{(m-1)}{(d-1)(d-2)} (d\delta_c^a - \delta_c^a) L \right\} \ell_a \ell^c \\ &= mL - \frac{d(m-1)}{d-2} L - \frac{2}{\ell^2} P_{mn}^{ab} R_{cb}^{mn} \ell_a \ell^c + 2 \frac{m-1}{d-2} L = -\frac{2}{\ell^2} P_{mn}^{ab} R_{cb}^{mn} \ell_a \ell^c + L \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Thus equating Eq. (11) to the matter energy density through $-(1/\ell^2)T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b$ we finally obtain,

$$2P_{bmn}^a R_c^{bmn} \ell_a \ell^c - L\ell^2 = T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b \quad (12)$$

For unit normalized time like vectors, $\ell_a = u_a$ and $\ell^2 = -1$, leading to $2E_{ab}u^a u^b = T_{ab}u^a u^b$ ¹ or for null vectors we arrive at $2\mathcal{R}_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b = T_{ab}\ell^a\ell^b$ ². Hence even in the case of Lovelock gravity, if one assumes that $2E_{ab}u^a u^b = T_{ab}u^a u^b$ holds for all timelike observers the field equations for Lovelock gravity follows, while in the null case, besides the Lovelock field equations, one obtains the cosmological constant as well. Thus the derivation of field equations for gravity can always be achieved starting from the Poisson's equation and subsequently projecting a suitable curvature tensor, whether it is Einstein gravity or Lovelock.

¹For Lovelock gravity the analogue of Einstein tensor becomes $E_{ab} \equiv P_a^{pqr} R_{bpqr} - (1/2)Lg_{ab}$

²The tensor $\mathcal{R}_{ab} \equiv P_a^{pqr} R_{bpqr}$ is the analogue of Ricci tensor.

4 Concluding Remarks

By equivalence principle gravity manifests itself by curving the spacetime, which the material particles follow. In particular one can invoke special relativity in locally freely falling frame and hence write down the laws of motion in curvilinear coordinates, thus describing motion in curved spacetime. The notion of locally freely falling observer brings in intrinsic observer dependence in the theory and introduces observers for whom a local spacetime region is causally inaccessible, known as a local Rindler observer. Remarkably the local vacuum state of a test quantum field (as fit for local inertial observers) will appear as thermal to the local Rindler observer [42, 43] (however also see [44]). If any matter field (characterized by matter energy momentum tensor T_b^a) crosses the local Rindler horizon, it will appear to be thermalized by the Rindler observer (since the matter will take infinite time to reach the horizon) and the corresponding heat density is being given by $T_a^b \ell^a \ell_b$ (for a perfect fluid the above quantity is given by $\rho + p$, which by Gibbs-Duhem relation is the matter heat density), where ℓ_a is the null normal to the horizon. Note that the above heat density for matter is invariant under the transformation $T_b^a \rightarrow T_b^a + (\text{constant})\delta_b^a$.

At this stage, one can ask a natural question, “what about heat density of gravity?”. Surprisingly, one can answer the same in the above setting. Considering a general null surface it turns out that one can interpret $R_b^a \ell^b \ell_a$ as the heat density of the spacetime. This originates from the fact that one can have a one to one correspondence between $R_{ab} \ell^a \ell^b$ and the viscous dissipation term $2\eta\sigma_{ab}\sigma^{ab} + \zeta\theta^2$, where σ_{ab} is the shear of the null congruence ℓ_a and θ is its expansion, with η and ζ being shear and bulk viscous coefficients. Thus the term $R_{ab} \ell^a \ell^b$ is related to heating of the spacetime [45–49]. Given this thermodynamic backdrop, it is clear that the Einstein’s equations when written as Eq. (2) not only yields the geometrical input of the gravitational theory but is also physically well-motivated since the equality of Eq. (2) can be thought of as an equilibrium situation, where the heat produced by gravity is being compensated by that of matter.

Thus the equation $2G_{ab} \ell^a \ell^b = T_{ab} \ell^a \ell^b$ not only arises more naturally from the relativistic generalization of Newton’s law but the usefulness of writing Einstein’s equations in this manner stems from the fact that one might interpret both sides of these equations *independently* and the equations themselves follow due to a balancing act performed by spacetime itself [41, 45, 50–54]. We would like to reiterate that the field equations derived in this context is purely geometrical and follows Newton’s path. One first realizes that energy density associated with any material body is intrinsically observer dependent and surprisingly one can construct a tensor (again dependent on observer) which contains spatial derivatives of the metric alone. Keeping this as a curved spacetime generalization of Newton’s law one uniquely arrives at Einstein’s equations when one make use of all observers (or all the null surfaces). This shows that the most natural generalization of Newton’s law to curved spacetime is $R_{ab} \ell^a \ell^b = 8\pi T_{ab} \ell^a \ell^b$ (of course, leading to Einstein’s equations, but at a secondary level) bolstering the claim that gravity is intrinsically a thermodynamic phenomenon.

Acknowledgements

S.C. gratefully acknowledges the help of T. Padmanabhan for suggesting this project and also for helpful discussions throughout the same. He also thanks Naresh Dadhich, Kinjalk Lochan and Krishnamohan Parattu for various fruitful discussions. A part of this work was completed while the author was visiting Albert Einstein Institute in Golm, Germany and the author gratefully acknowledges the warm hospitality there. Research of S.C. is supported by the SERB-NPDF grant (PDF/2016/001589) from Government of India.

A An alternative Riemann tensor for Lovelock gravity

In Lovelock gravity it is possible to define two tensors having the symmetry properties of Riemann and satisfies Bianchi identity. The first one corresponds to defining a $(2m \times 2m)$ tensor for m th order Lovelock polynomial by multiplying m such curvature tensors and then an alternating tensor of rank $(2m \times 2m)$ as [55],

$$\mathcal{R}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} = \delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \delta_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2m}} R_{d_1 d_2}^{c_1 c_2} \dots R_{d_{2m-1} d_{2m}}^{c_{2m-1} c_{2m}} \quad (13)$$

Note that the above tensor is completely antisymmetric in both upper and lower indices.

Let us now project all the indices on a lower dimensional spacelike hypersurface using the projection tensor $P_b^a = \delta_b^a - (1/\ell^2) \ell^a \ell_b$, such that one obtains another $(2m \times 2m)$ tensor, but whose inner product with the normal ℓ_a identically vanishes. Hence one arrives at,

$$m! \mathbb{R}_{q_1 q_2 \dots q_{2m}}^{p_1 p_2 \dots p_{2m}} = P_{b_1}^{p_1} \dots P_{b_{2m}}^{p_{2m}} P_{q_1}^{a_1} \dots P_{q_{2m}}^{a_{2m}} \mathcal{R}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \quad (14)$$

One can immediately construct a scalar out of the projected $(2m \times 2m)$ tensor, leading to,

$$m! \mathbb{R} = P_{b_1}^{a_1} \dots P_{b_{2m}}^{a_{2m}} \mathcal{R}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \quad (15)$$

There would be two terms contributing to the above expression, one when all the projectors are related by Kronecker deltas and when one of the projector P_b^a is replaced by $\ell^a \ell_b$ while all the others are replaced by Kronecker deltas. In any other case, for example if two projectors are replaced by the normal, then it would identically vanish, thanks to the completely antisymmetric nature of $\mathcal{R}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}}$. Thus finally we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} m! \mathbb{R} &= \delta_{b_1}^{a_1} \dots \delta_{b_{2m}}^{a_{2m}} \mathcal{R}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} - \frac{2m}{\ell^2} \ell^{a_1} \ell_{b_1} \delta_{b_2}^{a_2} \dots \delta_{b_{2m}}^{a_{2m}} \mathcal{R}_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \\ &= \delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \delta_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2m}} R_{d_1 d_2}^{c_1 c_2} \dots R_{d_{2m-1} d_{2m}}^{c_{2m-1} c_{2m}} - \frac{2m}{\ell^2} \ell^{a_1} \ell_{b_1} \delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \delta_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2m}} R_{d_1 d_2}^{c_1 c_2} \dots R_{d_{2m-1} d_{2m}}^{c_{2m-1} c_{2m}} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

One can now use the following identities,

$$\delta_{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \delta_{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}}^{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{2m}} = \frac{m!}{2^m} \delta_{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2m}}^{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{2m}} \quad (17)$$

as well as,

$$\delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \delta_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2m}} R_{d_1 d_2}^{c_1 c_2} \dots R_{d_{2m-1} d_{2m}}^{c_{2m-1} c_{2m}} = \frac{m!}{2^m} \delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \delta_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2m}} R_{d_1 d_2}^{c_1 c_2} \dots R_{d_{2m-1} d_{2m}}^{c_{2m-1} c_{2m}} \quad (18)$$

such that one arrives at,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R} &= \frac{1}{2^m} \delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{2m}}^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2m}} R_{d_1 d_2}^{c_1 c_2} \dots R_{d_{2m-1} d_{2m}}^{c_{2m-1} c_{2m}} - \frac{2m}{\ell^2} \frac{1}{2^m} \ell^{a_1} \ell_{b_1} \delta_{c_1 c_2 \dots c_{2m}}^{b_1 b_2 \dots b_{2m}} \delta_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_{2m}}^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{2m}} R_{d_1 d_2}^{c_1 c_2} \dots R_{d_{2m-1} d_{2m}}^{c_{2m-1} c_{2m}} \\ &= L - \frac{2}{\ell^2} \ell_c \ell^d R_{qr}^{cp} R_{dp}^{qr} = L - \frac{2}{\ell^2} \mathcal{R}_{ab} \ell^a \ell^b \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where, $\mathcal{R}_{ab}$ stands for the analogue of Ricci tensor associated with the Lovelock gravitational action.

References

- [1] T. Padmanabhan, *Gravitation: Foundations and Frontiers*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2010.
- [2] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields, Fourth Edition: Volume 2 (Course of Theoretical Physics Series)*. Butterworth-Heinemann, 1980.
- [3] A. Eddington, *The Mathematical Theory of Relativity*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2 ed., 1924.
- [4] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*. W. H. Freeman and Company, 3 ed., 1973.
- [5] E. Poisson, *A Relativist's Toolkit: The Mathematics of Black-Hole Mechanics*. Cambridge University Press, 1st ed., 2007.
- [6] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*. The University of Chicago Press, 1st ed., 1984.
- [7] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [8] T.-j. Chen, M. Fasiello, E. A. Lim, and A. J. Tolley, “Higher derivative theories with constraints: Exorcising Ostrogradski’s Ghost,” *JCAP* **1302** (2013) 042, [arXiv:1209.0583 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] H. Motohashi, K. Noui, T. Suyama, M. Yamaguchi, and D. Langlois, “Healthy degenerate theories with higher derivatives,” *JCAP* **1607** no. 07, (2016) 033, [arXiv:1603.09355 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] R. Klein and D. Roest, “Exorcising the Ostrogradsky ghost in coupled systems,” *JHEP* **07** (2016) 130, [arXiv:1604.01719 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] C. Lanczos, “A Remarkable property of the Riemann-Christoffel tensor in four dimensions,” *Annals Math.* **39** (1938) 842–850.
- [12] C. Lanczos, “Electricity as a natural property of Riemannian geometry,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **39** (1932) 716–736.
- [13] D. Lovelock, “The Einstein tensor and its generalizations,” *J. Math. Phys.* **12** (1971) 498–501.
- [14] T. Padmanabhan, “Some aspects of field equations in generalised theories of gravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D84** (2011) 124041, [arXiv:1109.3846 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [15] T. Padmanabhan and D. Kothawala, “Lanczos-Lovelock models of gravity,” *Phys.Rept.* **531** (2013) 115–171, [arXiv:1302.2151 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [16] S. Chakraborty and T. Padmanabhan, “Geometrical variables with direct thermodynamic significance in Lanczos-Lovelock gravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D90** no. 8, (2014) 084021, [arXiv:1408.4791 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [17] N. Dadhich, “Characterization of the Lovelock gravity by Bianchi derivative,” *Pramana* **74** (2010) 875–882, [arXiv:0802.3034 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [18] X. O. Camanho and N. Dadhich, “On Lovelock analogs of the Riemann tensor,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** no. 3, (2016) 149, [arXiv:1503.02889 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [19] T. Padmanabhan, “Holographic gravity and the surface term in the Einstein-Hilbert action,” *Braz.J.Phys.* **35** (2005) 362–372, [arXiv:gr-qc/0412068 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [20] T. Padmanabhan and A. Paranjape, “Entropy of null surfaces and dynamics of spacetime,” *Phys.Rev.* **D75** (2007) 064004, [arXiv:gr-qc/0701003 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [21] D. Kothawala, T. Padmanabhan, and S. Sarkar, “Is gravitational entropy quantized?,” *Phys.Rev.* **D78** (2008) 104018, [arXiv:0807.1481 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [22] A. Paranjape, S. Sarkar, and T. Padmanabhan, “Thermodynamic route to field equations in Lancos-Lovelock gravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 104015, [arXiv:hep-th/0607240 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] M. Akbar and R.-G. Cai, “Friedmann equations of FRW universe in scalar-tensor gravity, f(R) gravity and first law of thermodynamics,” *Phys.Lett.* **B635** (2006) 7–10, [arXiv:hep-th/0602156 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] R.-G. Cai and S. P. Kim, “First law of thermodynamics and Friedmann equations of Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe,” *JHEP* **0502** (2005) 050, [arXiv:hep-th/0501055 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] X.-H. Ge, “First law of thermodynamics and Friedmann-like equations in braneworld cosmology,” *Phys.Lett.* **B651** (2007) 49–53, [arXiv:hep-th/0703253 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] Y. Gong and A. Wang, “The Friedmann equations and thermodynamics of apparent horizons,” *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **99** (2007) 211301, [arXiv:0704.0793 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] S.-F. Wu, B. Wang, and G.-H. Yang, “Thermodynamics on the apparent horizon in generalized gravity theories,” *Nucl.Phys.* **B799** (2008) 330–344, [arXiv:0711.1209 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] D. Kothawala and T. Padmanabhan, “Thermodynamic structure of Lanczos-Lovelock field equations from near-horizon symmetries,” *Phys. Rev.* **D79** (2009) 104020, [arXiv:0904.0215 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [29] S. Chakraborty, R. Biswas, and N. Mazumder, “Unified First Law and Some Comments,” *Nuovo Cim.* **B125** (2011) 1209–1214, [arXiv:1006.1169 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [30] S. Chakraborty, K. Parattu, and T. Padmanabhan, “Gravitational field equations near an arbitrary null surface expressed as a thermodynamic identity,” *JHEP* **10** (2015) 097, [arXiv:1505.05297 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [31] S. Chakraborty, “Lanczos-Lovelock gravity from a thermodynamic perspective,” *JHEP* **08** (2015) 029, [arXiv:1505.07272 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [32] D. Hansen, D. Kubiznak, and R. Mann, “Horizon Thermodynamics from Einstein’s Equation of State,” [arXiv:1610.03079 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [33] R. Dey, S. Liberati, and A. Mohd, “Higher derivative gravity: field equation as the equation of state,” *Phys. Rev.* **D94** no. 4, (2016) 044013, [arXiv:1605.04789 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [34] T. Padmanabhan, “Equipartition of energy in the horizon degrees of freedom and the emergence of gravity,” *Mod.Phys.Lett.* **A25** (2010) 1129–1136, [arXiv:0912.3165 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [35] T. Padmanabhan, “General Relativity from a Thermodynamic Perspective,” *Gen.Rel.Grav.* **46** (2014) 1673, [arXiv:1312.3253 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [36] S. Chakraborty and T. Padmanabhan, “Evolution of Spacetime arises due to the departure from Holographic Equipartition in all Lanczos-Lovelock Theories of Gravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D90** no. 12, (2014) 124017, [arXiv:1408.4679 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [37] A. Mukhopadhyay and T. Padmanabhan, “Holography of gravitational action functionals,” *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 124023, [arXiv:hep-th/0608120](#).
- [38] D. Dieks, J. van Dongen, and S. de Haro, “Emergence in Holographic Scenarios for Gravity,” *Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci.* **B52** (2015) 203–216, [arXiv:1501.04278 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [39] K. Parattu, S. Chakraborty, B. R. Majhi, and T. Padmanabhan, “A Boundary Term for the Gravitational Action with Null Boundaries,” *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **48** no. 7, (2016) 94, [arXiv:1501.01053 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [40] S. Chakraborty, K. Parattu, and T. Padmanabhan, “A Novel Derivation of the Boundary Term for the Action in Lanczos-Lovelock Gravity,” [arXiv:1703.00624 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [41] T. Padmanabhan, “The Atoms Of Space, Gravity and the Cosmological Constant,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **D25** no. 07, (2016) 1630020, [arXiv:1603.08658 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [42] P. Davies, “Scalar particle production in Schwarzschild and Rindler metrics,” *J.Phys.* **A8** (1975) 609–616.
- [43] W. Unruh, “Notes on black hole evaporation,” *Phys.Rev.* **D14** (1976) 870.
- [44] K. Lochan, S. Chakraborty, and T. Padmanabhan, “Dynamic realization of the Unruh effect for a geodesic observer,” [arXiv:1603.01964 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [45] S. Chakraborty and T. Padmanabhan, “Thermodynamical interpretation of the geometrical variables associated with null surfaces,” *Phys. Rev.* **D92** no. 10, (2015) 104011, [arXiv:1508.04060 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [46] T. Padmanabhan, “Entropy density of spacetime and the Navier-Stokes fluid dynamics of null surfaces,” *Phys.Rev.* **D83** (2011) 044048, [arXiv:1012.0119 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [47] S. Kolekar and T. Padmanabhan, “Action principle for the Fluid-Gravity correspondence and emergent gravity,” *Phys.Rev.* **D85** (2012) 024004, [arXiv:1109.5353 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [48] S. Bhattacharya and S. Shankaranarayanan, “Negative specific heat of black-holes from Fluid-Gravity Correspondence,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** no. 7, (2017) 075005, [arXiv:1702.03682 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [49] S. Bhattacharya and S. Shankaranarayanan, “Fluctuations in horizon-fluid lead to negative bulk viscosity,” *Phys. Rev.* **D93** no. 6, (2016) 064030, [arXiv:1511.01377 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [50] T. Padmanabhan, “Emergent Gravity Paradigm: Recent Progress,” *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A30** no. 03n04, (2015) 1540007, [arXiv:1410.6285 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [51] T. Padmanabhan, “Momentum density of spacetime and the gravitational dynamics,” [arXiv:1506.03814 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [52] T. Padmanabhan, “Distribution function of the Atoms of Spacetime and the Nature of Gravity,” *Entropy* **17** (2015) 7420–7452, [arXiv:1508.06286 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [53] Z.-L. Wang, W.-Y. Ai, H. Chen, and J.-B. Deng, “A cosmological model from emergence of space,” *Phys. Rev.* **D92** no. 2, (2015) 024051, [arXiv:1504.05426 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [54] C. E. Navia, “On the Davies-Unruh effect in a wide range of temperatures,” [arXiv:1701.03442 \[astro-ph.GA\]](#).
- [55] D. Kastor, “The Riemann-Lovelock Curvature Tensor,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29** (2012) 155007, [arXiv:1202.5287 \[hep-th\]](#).